1	WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP	
2	Renee M. Parker, Esq., WSBA No. 36995 Lukasz I. Wozniak, Esq., WSBA No. 47290	
3	4665 MacArthur Court, Suite 200	
ŀ	Newport Beach, CA 92660 Tel: (949) 610-7023; Fax: (949) 477-9200	
4	rmparker@wrightlegal.net	
5	Attorney for GREEN TREE LOAN SERVICIN	IGIIC
6	Attorney for GREEN TREE LOAN SERVICIN	IG LLC
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON (TACOMA)	
8		
9	JAMES A. BIGELOW,	Case No.: 3:14-cv-05798 BHS
10	Plaintiff,	
11	vs.	OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS COUNTER-CLAIM AND THIRD PARTY
12	NORTHWEST TRUSTEE SERVICES, INC.; GREEN TREE SERVICING, LLC;	COMPLAINT DUE TO LACK OF JURISDICTION FILED BY JAMES A.
13	MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC	BIGELOW
14	REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.; and DOE DEFENDANTS 1-20,	Noting Date: April 3, 2015
15	Defendants.	
16	GREEN TREE SERVICING, LLC,	
17	Counter-Plaintiff, vs.	
18	JAMES A. BIGELOW and CAROLYN	
19	BIGELOW, husband and wife; and ALL	
20	PERSONS OR PARTIES UNKNOWN CLAIMING ANY RIGHT, TITLE, ESTATE,	
	LIEN, OR INTEREST IN THE PROPERTY	
21	DESCRIBED IN THE COMPLAINT	
22	HEREIN,	
23	Counter-Defendants.	
24	mo mue novobile como	
25		Γ, ΤΟ PLAINTIFFS, AND ΤΟ THEIF
	ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:	
26	OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO	Renee M. Parker (SBN 36995)
27	DISMISS FILED BY BIGELOW WFZ File No.: 229-2013426	Wright, Finlay, & Zak, LLP 4665 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 200
28		Newport Beach, CA 92660

Counter-Plaintiff Green Tree Servicing LLC (hereinafter "Green Tree") hereby submits its memorandum of points and authorities in Opposition to Counter-Defendant James A. Bigelow's (hereinafter "Bigelow") Motion to Dismiss Counter-Claim and Third Party Complaint Due to Lack of Jurisdiction as filed with this Court on March 11, 2015 as Docket Item No. 58 ("Motion to Dismiss") as follows:

### **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

#### I. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On or about October 7, 2014 Bigelow filed his Verified Complaint with this Court as Docket Item No. 1. Bigelow filed an Amended Verified Complaint on or about November 13, 2014 as Docket Item No. 11. Green Tree and Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. ("MERS") filed a Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint on or about December 2, 2014 (Docket Item No. 15) and, after reviewing the pleading and the opposition filed by Bigelow, the Amended Complaint was dismissed with leave to amend on or about January 29, 2015 (Docket Item No. 35). Despite no order being entered on Bigelow's Motion for Enlargement of Time [to Amend the First Amended Complaint] (Docket Item No. 43) filed on the eve of the deadline, Bigelow filed his Second Amended Complaint on or about February 23, 2015 as Docket Item No. 44. Counsel for Wright Finlay & Zak, LLP and Renee M. Parker filed an Anti-SLAPP Motion on March 13, 2015 as Docket Item No. 60, and is currently in process of filing a Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint.

On or about January 8, 2015, which occurred prior to dismissal of Bigelow's Amended Complaint, Green Tree filed a Counterclaim and Third-Party Complaint for Judicial Foreclosure ("Judicial Foreclosure") of the real property commonly known as 10018 Cascadian Avenue SE, Yelm, WA 98597 ("Subject Property"). Bigelow filed his Motion to Dismiss in response, and cited only one basis for dismissal, which was lack of jurisdiction by this Court over the Judicial Foreclosure.

For the reasons set forth more fully below, Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss should be denied in its entirety.

OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS FILED BY BIGELOW

WFZ File No.: 229-2013426

Renee M. Parker (SBN 36995) Wright, Finlay, & Zak, LLP 4665 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 200 Newport Beach, CA 92660 PH: (949) 477-5050/FAX: (949) 608-9142

1

2

**4** 5

6

7

8 9

11

10

13

12

15

14

1617

18 19

20

22

21

2324

25

2627

27 || 1

28

#### II. ARGUMENTS

# A. <u>Bigelow's Motion to Dismiss Should be Denied Because This Court has Supplemental Jurisdiction Over the Judicial Foreclosure</u>

Bigelow incorrectly claims this Court does not have jurisdiction to hear the Judicial Foreclosure action because only the Superior Court of Washington has "jurisdiction in all cases at law which involve the title or possession of real property." Motion to Dismiss, ¶ 1.

This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the Judicial Foreclosure because it is transactionally related to, and arises out of, the same common nucleus of operative facts as the federal claims pled in Bigelow's numerous Complaints – namely Bigelow's causes of action all relate to Green Tree's foreclosure of the Subject Property. Therefore, the Judicial Foreclosure is subject to the supplemental jurisdiction of this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) as being part of the same case or controversy. *United Mine Workers v Gibbs*, (1966) 383 U.S. 715, 725.

28 U.S.C. § 1367(b) is not applicable because the amount in controversy in the Judicial Foreclosure, exceeds \$75,000.00. The Note as secured by the Deed of Trust have a face value of \$233,899.00, although a significantly higher amount is now owed by Bigelow under the Note. Green Tree and the Counter-Defendants are also citizens of different states. Green Tree is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and does not have its main place of operation or its headquarters in Washington State. The Counter-Defendants are citizens of California and Washington State.

28 U.S.C. § 1367(c) is not applicable because the state court claims in the Judicial Foreclosure are not novel or complex, and does not predominate Bigelow's claims.

# B. <u>Bigelow's Motion to Dismiss Should be Denied Because Green Tree was Required to</u> File the Judicial Foreclosure as a Compulsory Counterclaim

Green Tree is required to assert its Judicial Foreclosure as a compulsory counterclaim as part of this litigation: "A pleading must state as a counterclaim any claim that--at the time of its service--the pleader has against an opposing party if the claim: (A) arises out of the transaction or occurrence that is the subject matter of the opposing party's claim; and (B) does not require adding another party over whom the court cannot acquire jurisdiction." Fed. R. Civ. Proc.

OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS FILED BY BIGELOW

WFZ File No.: 229-2013426

Renee M. Parker (SBN 36995) Wright, Finlay, & Zak, LLP 4665 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 200 Newport Beach, CA 92660 PH: (949) 477-5050/FAX: (949) 608-9142

### Case 3:14-cv-05798-BHS Document 64 Filed 03/20/15 Page 4 of 6

13(a)(1). See also, In re Marshall, 600 F3d 1037, 1057 (9th Cir. 2010); Adam v. Jacobs, 950 F2d 89, 92 (2nd Cir. 1991); McDaniel v. Anheuser-Busch, Inc., 987 F2d 298, 304 (5th Cir. 1993). This Court has jurisdiction over all of the Counter-Defendants as all resided within Washington State when the Judicial Foreclosure Complaint was filed. III. **CONCLUSION** Because this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Green Tree's Judicial Foreclosure,

and because Green Tree was required to file its Judicial Foreclosure as a compulsory counterclaim in this litigation, Bigelow's Motion to Dismiss lacks merit and must be denied.

WHEREFORE, based upon the facts and conclusions above, Defendants requests that:

- 1. The Motion to Dismiss Counter-Claim and Third Party Complaint Due to Lack of Jurisdiction filed by James A. Bigelow be DENIED; and
- 2. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted, Dated: March 19, 2015 WRIGHT, FINLAY, & ZAK, LLP

By:

Renee M. Parker, WSBA # 36995 Attorneys for Counter-Plaintiff, GREEN TREE SERVICING LLC

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24 25

23

26

28

27 WFZ File No.: 229-2013426

OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS FILED BY BIGELOW

Renee M. Parker (SBN 36995) Wright, Finlay, & Zak, LLP 4665 MacArthur Blvd., Suite 200 Newport Beach, CA 92660 PH: (949) 477-5050/FAX: (949) 608-9142

4

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>	
2	I, Steven E. Bennett, declare as follows:	
3	I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of	
4	eighteen (18) and not a party to the within action. My business address is 4665 MacArthur Court, Suite 280, Newport Beach, California 92660. I am readily familiar with the practices of	
5	Wright, Finlay & Zak, LLP, for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Such correspondence is deposited with the United States Postal	
6	Service the same day in the ordinary course of business.	
7	On March 20, 2015, I served the within <b>OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS</b>	
8	COUNTER-CLAIM AND THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT DUE TO LACK OF JURISDICTION FILED BY JAMES A. BIGELOW on all interested parties in this action as	
9	follows:	
10	PLAINTIFF:	
11	James A Bigelow	
12	sistermoonproductions@gmail.com	
13	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	
14	c/o Corporation Service Company, Registered Agent For Service of Process Attn: Juanita Huey	
15	300 Deschutes Way SW., Suite 304 Tumwater, WA 98501	
16		
17	Oak Ridge Yelm Homeowners Association Attn: Ruth Meenk – Designated Person	
18	5120 Klahanie Dr. NW	
19	Olympia, WA 98502	
20	Timothy Dietz	
21	10018 Cascadian Ave SE Yelm, WA 98597	
	Current Occupant (All Persons or Parties Unknown Claiming Any Right, Title, Estate,	
22 23	Lien, Or Interest in The Property Described in The Complaint)	
	10018 Cascadian Ave. SE Yelm, WA 98597	
24		
25	by placing [ ] the original [X] a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) addressed as follows:	
26	[X] (BY MAIL SERVICE) I placed such envelope(s) for collection to be mailed on this date	
27	following ordinary business practices.	
28		

Case 3:14-cv-05798-BHS Document 64 Filed 03/20/15 Page 6 of 6